The Status of Water Quality in Arizona – 2004 Arizona's Integrated 305(b) Assessment and 303(d) Listing Report

DRAFT NOVEMBER 2003

Approved by:				
Karen L. Smith, Director Water Quality Division				
Linda Taunt, Hydrologic Support and Assessment Section Manage	r			
Susan Ward, Watershed Management Unit Manager				

ii Draft November 2003

DRAFT -- The Status of Water Quality in Arizona -- 2004 Arizona's 2004 Integrated 305(b) Assessment and 303(d) Listing Report

Prepared by: Melanie Diroll and Diana Marsh

Editing and assistance: Susan Ward, Linda Taunt
Graphic assistance: Maxwell Enterline
Database assistance: Chris Conneran, Eileen McMullen, Patti Tuve
Groundwater analysis: Angela Lucci and Doug Towne
Surface water assessments: Mario Castaneda, Maxwell Enterline, Julie Finke, Cheri Horsley, Linda Taunt, Susan Ward

A special thanks to the ambient monitoring staff who travel across the state collecting the data used in this report:

Patsy Arias, Elizabeth Boettcher, Amanda Fawley, Susan Fitch, Joe Harmon, Jennifer Hickman, Lee Johnson, Lin Lawson, Angela Lucci, Doug McCarty, Greg Olsen,
Kyle Palmer, Samuel Rector, Robert Scalamera, Patti Spindler, Jason Sutter, Doug Towne, and R. Scott Williams

Arizona Department of Environmental Quality 1110 West Washington Avenue Phoenix, Arizona 85007 (602) 771-2300 In Arizona 1-800-234-5677 TTD (602) 771-4829

iii Draft November 2003

Program Contacts

ADEQ's Web Site – Current information about programs and status of many projects can be downloaded from ADEQ's Web Site: www.adeq.state.az.us.

ADEQ's Programs -- Further information about water quality ambient monitoring data, standards, and assessments can be obtained by contacting the following ADEQ program staff:

Assessments: Melanie Diroll (602) 771-4616 Bioassessment: Patti Spindler (602) 771-4543 Lakes monitoring: Susan Fitch (602) 771-4541 Fish advisories: Sam Rector (602) 771-4536 GIS coverages: Victor Gass (602) 771-4517

Ground water monitoring (ambient): Doug Towne (602) 771-4412 Ground water data retrievals: Marianne Gilbert (602) 771-4563

Nonpoint Source Program: Susan Ward (602) 771-4509

NPDES (AZPDES) & federal certifications: Chris Varga (602) 771-4665

Surface water monitoring: Steve Pawlowski (602) 771-4219 Surface water standards: Steve Pawlowski (602) 771-4219

Pesticides: Wang Yu (602) 771-4552

Priority pollutants and toxic substances: Sam Rector (602) 771-4536

TMDL Program: Nancy LaMascus (602) 771-4468 208 Planning: Edwina Vogan (602) 771-4606

Water Quality Improvement Grants Program: Sandy Sutton (602) 771-4635

Watershed Management Program: Susan Ward (602) 771-4509

A more comprehensive list of water quality protection programs is provided in the final appendix of this report (**Appendix E**).

Other Agencies -- Contact the following agencies to obtain further information about their programs or to obtain copies of their data:

Arizona Department of Water Resources - Basic Data (602) 417-2457

Arizona Game and Fish Department (602) 789-3260

Urban Lakes Program (602) 789-3268

Arizona State Parks

Slide Rock State Park (520) 639-2962 (Steve Pace)

Environmental Protection Agency Region IX (San Francisco)

303(d) and TMDL's (415) 972-3416 (Dave Smith)

305(b) Assessments (415) 972-3462 (Terry Fleming)

Standards Development (415) 972-3498 (Gary Wolinsky)

Nonpoint Source (415) 972-3444 (Ephraim Leon-Guerrero)

Mohave County Health Department -- Lake Havasu (520) 453-0712 (Sandy

Hillery)

National Parks Service

Glen Canyon National Recreation Area (520) 608-6377

Grand Canyon National Park (520) 638-7905 (John Rihs)

Salt River Project (602) 236-5900 (Greg Elliott)

Southern Nevada Water Authority (702) 258-3948 (Jeff Johnson)

University of Arizona, (520) 626-2386 (Dave Walker)

US Army Corps of Engineers (213) 452-3529 (Robert Stewart)

US Bureau of Land Management/Phoenix (602) 580-5500 (Jim Renthal)

US Bureau of Reclamation

Colorado Grand Canyon (520) 556-7051

Upper Colorado Region (801) 524-3700 (Jerry Miller)

Lake Powell (928) 608-6377 (Mark Anderson)

US Fish and Wildlife Service (602) 640-2720 (Kirke King)

US Forest Service

Apache-Sitgreaves National Forest (928) 333-4301

Coconino National Forest (520) 527-3600

Coronado National Forest (520) 670-4552 (Robert Lafevre)

Kaibab National Forest (928) 635-8200 (Dave Brewer)

Prescott National Forest (928) 567-4121 (Michelle Girard)

Tonto National Forest (602) 225-5200 (Grant Loomis)

US Geological Survey (480) 379-3087 (Cheryl Partin)

NAWQA (520) 670-6135 (x223) (Gail Cordy)

Table of Contents

	Page	P	age
I. Arizona's Integrated Assessment and Listing Process	· ·	IV. Individual Surface Water Monitoring and Assessment Information	Ū
Why do we write this report?	I - 1		V - 1
State statutes and Impaired Water Identification rule	I - 1	How is a surface water added to or removed from the	
Federal regulations and guidance	I - 2	303(d) List?	V - 1
Table 1. EPA requested data or information	I - 2	How is a surface water added to or removed from the	
Changes in the assessment process	I - 3		V - 3
How is the assessment and listing approved?	I - 4	Overview of assessment terms and criteria.	V - 3
II. Water? All I See are Dry River Beds!		Bill Williams Watershed	
Arizona's ecologic, hydrologic, and geographic diversity	II - 1		V - 5
Table 2. Arizona atlas	II - 2		V - 6
Figure 1. Arizona's ecosystems	II - 3	Table 6. Assessment, Planning List, and 303(d) List IV	⁷ - 18
Figure 2. Arizona's hydrologic provinces	II - 3	Colorado - Grand Canyon Watershed	
Table 3. An estimate of water resources	II - 5	Figure 16. Watershed monitoring and assessments IV	V - 21
Figure 3. Land ownership categories	II - 6	Table 7. Monitoring data table	V - 21
Figure 4. Perennial streams	II - 6	Table 8. Assessment, Planning List, and 303(d) List IV	V - 29
Figure 5. Mean annual precipitation	II - 7	Colorado - Lower Gila Watershed	
Figure 6. Mean annual temperature	II - 7	Figure 17. Watershed monitoring and assessments IV	V - 34
Watershed, hydrologic unit code areas, and basins	II - 8	Table 9. Monitoring data table	V - 35
Figure 7. Hydrologic unit code areas	II - 9	Table 10. Assessment, Planning List, and 303(d) List IV	V - 42
Figure 8. Surface water basins	II - 11	Little Colorado River - San Juan Watershed	
Figure 9. Watersheds	II - 11	Figure 18. Watershed monitoring and assessments IV	V - 45
Figure 10. Ground water basins	II - 12	Table 11. Monitoring data table	V - 46
III. How are Water Quality Assessments Performed		Table 12. Assessment, Planning List, and 303(d) List IV	V - 62
The assessment process.	III - 1	Middle Gila Watershed	
Do all waters have to meet the same standards?	III - 2	Figure 19. Watershed monitoring and assessments IV	V - 72
How do the new standards adopted in 2002 affect this		Table 13. Monitoring data table	V - 73
assessment?	III - 3	Table 14. Assessment, Planning List, and 303(d) List IV	V - 108
Do some waters have special standards to meet?	III - 5	Salt Watershed	
Figure 11. Unique Waters	III - 6	Figure 20. Watershed monitoring and assessments IV	V - 119
Figure 12. Effluent dependent waters	III - 7	Table 15. Monitoring data table	V - 120
What is Arizona's assessments criteria?	III - 9	Table 16. Assessment, Planning List, and 303(d) List IV	V - 152
Figure 14. 2004 Assessment process diagram	III - 13	San Pedro-Willcox Playa - Rio Yaqui Watershed	
Which Cottonwood Wash and how much was assessed?	III - 12	Figure 21. Watershed monitoring and assessments IV	V - 160
Figure 13. Reach delineations	III - 12	\mathcal{E}	V - 16
How do lake and stream assessments differ?	III - 14	Table 18. Assessment, Planning List, and 303(d) List IV	V - 178
Table 4. Trophic classification thresholds	III - 14		
Can one get a copy of the monitoring data used?	III - 14		

V Draft November 2003

	Page		Page
Santa Cruz - Rio Magdalena - Rio Sonoyta Watershed	-	Table 35. Pollutants impairing lakes	VI - 6
Figure 22. Watershed monitoring and assessments	IV - 184	Figure 32. Pollutants impairing lakes	VI - 6
Table 19. Monitoring data table	IV - 185	Figure 33. Waters potentially impaired due to	
Table 20. Assessment, Planning List, and 303(d) List	t IV - 212	suspended sediment	VI - 8
Upper Gila Watershed		What are the major sources of these pollutants?	VI - 9
Figure 23. Watershed monitoring and assessments	IV - 219	Table 36. Probable sources of stream pollutants	VI - 9
Table 21. Monitoring data table	IV - 220	Figure 34. Probable sources of stream pollutants	VI - 9
Table 22. Assessment, Planning List, and 303(d) List	t IV - 233	Table 37. Probable sources of lake pollutants	VI - 10
Verde Watershed		Figure 35. Probable sources of lake pollutants	IV - 10
Figure 24. Watershed monitoring and assessments	IV - 239	A few words about point and nonpoint sources	VI - 12
Table 23. Monitoring data table	IV - 240	Table 38. Point and nonpoint source contribution	
Table 24. Assessment, Planning List, and 303(d) List	t IV - 265	to impairment	VI - 12
V. 2004 303(d) List, Assessment Categories, and TMDL Schedule		Is the water safe to drink, swim in, and fish from?	VI - 13
Figure 25. 2004 assessments of streams	V - 3	Table 39. Fish consumption advisories	VI - 14
Figure 26. 2004 assessments of lakes	V - 4	Table 40. Reported fish kills and abnormalities	VI - 16
Assessment categories and Arizona's Planning List	V - 5	VII. Ground Water Quality: Out of Sight Not Out of Mind	
Table 25. Category 5 - Impaired waters requiring		How does ADEQ characterize ground water?	VII - 1
a TMDL	V - 5	Figure 41. Ground water basin studies	VII - 1
Table 26. Category 4 - Impaired waters <u>not</u> requiring		Figure 42. Wells monitored for pesticides	VII - 3
a TMDL	V - 9	General ground water quality in Arizona	VII - 4
Table 27. Category 3 - Inconclusive waters	V - 13	Figure 43. Arsenic concentrations in wells	VII - 6
Table 28. Category 2 - Waters attaining some uses	V - 23	Figure 44. Fluoride concentrations in wells	VII - 8
Table 29. Category 1 - Waters attaining all uses	V - 29	Figure 45. Hardness levels in wells	VII - 10
What is Arizona proposing to remove from the 2002 303(d)		Figure 46. Nitrate concentrations in wells	VII - 12
List?	V - 32	Figure 47. Gross alpha and uranium conc. in wells	VII - 14
Table 30. Pollutants and surface waters removed		Figure 48. Total dissolved solids conc. in wells	VII - 16
from the 2002 303(d) List.	V - 32	VIII. Taking Care of Water Quality Problems	
Which TMDLs will ADEQ do next?	V - 36	The Nonpoint Source Program	VIII - 1
Table 31. TMDL priority ranking and schedule	V - 37	Surface Water Monitoring Program	VIII - 2
VI. How Clean is Surface Water in Arizona		Figure 49. Fixed long-term monitoring sites	VIII - 3
Water quality in streams, canals, and washes	VI - 1	Table 41. Arizona's watershed cycle	VIII - 3
Figure 27. Use support assessments - streams	VI - 1	Total Maximum Daily Load (TMDL) Program	VIII - 7
Figure 28. Use support by designated use - streams	VI - 1	Figure 50. Status of TMDLs in Arizona	VIII - 8
Table 32. Use support summary - streams	VI - 2	Watershed Management Program	VIII - 12
Water quality in lakes and reservoirs	VI - 3	Table 42. Arizona's watershed partnerships	VIII - 14
Figure 29. Use support assessments - lakes	VI - 3	Putting it all together	VIII -18
Figure 30. Use support by designated use - lakes	VI - 3	APPENDICES	
Table 33. Use support summary - lakes	VI - 4	A. Acronyms, Abbreviations, Definitions and Units of Measure	
What pollutants impair lakes and streams?	VI - 5	B. Arizona's New TMDL Statute and Impaired Water Identification	
Table 34. Pollutants impairing streams	VI - 5	rule	
Figure 31. Pollutants impairing streams	VI - 5	C. Arizona's Surface and Ground Water Quality Standards	

vi Draft November 2003

I. Arizona's 2004 Integrated Assessment and Listing Process

Why do we write this report?

This biennial report consolidates reporting requirements under the federal Clean Water Act sections 305(b) (assessments), 303(d) (impaired waters list), 106 (monitoring), 204 (grants), 319 (nonpoint source), and 314 (lakes program). It incorporates recommendations made in the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency's (EPA) "Guidance for 2004 Assessment, Listing, and Reporting Requirements Pursuant to Sections 303(d) and 305(b) of the Clean Water Act" issued in July 2003. This report also provides information required in Arizona's TMDL statute (Arizona Revised Statute 49-231 through 49-238) and *Impaired Water Identification* rule (Arizona Administrative Code R11-18-601 through 606).

In addition, the Arizona Department of Environmental Quality (ADEQ) recognizes that this report can provide many state and federal agencies, organizations, and interested parties with a current reference document on the status of surface and ground water quality in Arizona. The following objectives are fulfilled by the publication of this water quality assessment report:

- Report on statewide surface and ground water quality in Arizona (excluding tribal lands);
- Identify and delineate all assessed surface waters;
- Identify the status of designated use support for individual surface waters based on numeric or narrative water quality standards;
- Document the basis for ground water and surface water assessment determinations;
- Identify pollutants or water quality characteristics that cause impairment;
- Identify possible sources of pollutants;
- Indicate where standards are exceeded solely due to natural conditions;
- Describe the state's monitoring program and progress toward achieving comprehensive assessments for all surface waters;
- Identify where additional monitoring may be needed to complete assessments (Planning List) or support the development of Total Maximum Daily Load (TMDL) analyses, including a schedule for this monitoring;
- Identify and prioritize where additional TMDLs need to be completed;
- Provide opportunity for public review and respond to comments concerning assessments and the state's 303(d) listing proposals;

This report was written to be understandable for both technical and nontechnical

audiences. Technical terms, acronyms, and abbreviations used in his document are defined in **Appendix A.**

State Statutes and the Impaired Water Identification Rule

The 2002 Integrated Assessment and Listing Report marked a significant change in Arizona's assessment and listing processes, due to new state statutes and regulations adopted in 2000. These statutes and rules regulate the identification of impaired waters and the prioritization and

A Total Maximum Daily Load Analysis (TMDL)

A TMDL is a written, quantitative plan and analysis to determine the maximum loading on a pollutant basis that a surface water can assimilate and still attain and maintain a specific water quality standard during all conditions. The TMDL allocates the loading capacity of the surface water to point sources and nonpoint sources identified in the watershed, accounting for natural background levels and seasonal variation, with an allocation set aside as a margin of safety.

completion of Total Maximum Daily Load (TMDL) analyses. Arizona continues to implement these requirements, described below, in the 2004 report.

State Statutes -- Arizona Revised Statute Title 49, sections 231-238 (**Appendix B**), established procedures for identifying impaired waters which require TMDL analyses. For 303(d) listing decisions, the statute requires that ADEQ:

- Adopt, by rule, the methods used to identify "impaired" waters;
- Use only reasonably current, credible, and scientifically defensible data;
- Consider the nature of the water (e.g., ephemeral, intermittent, or perennial) in assessing whether a surface water is impaired;
- Determine whether pollutant loadings solely from naturally occurring conditions are sufficient to exceed a water quality standard, and if so, not list as "impaired";
- Adopt narrative implementation procedures through a public process before using narrative standards to identify impaired waters. These procedures must identify the objective basis for determining a narrative or biological standard violation.

Impaired Water Identification **Rule --** ADEQ developed the *Impaired Water Identification* rule (R18-11-601through R18-11-606) (**Appendix B**) as required in the state statute discussed above. These rules establish the following:

- "Credible data" criteria;
- < Data submission and record keeping;
- General data interpretation requirements;
- Criteria for placing a surface water on the Planning List for further monitoring;
- Criteria for identifying a surface water as impaired and placing it and identified pollutants on the 303(d) List;
- Criteria for removing a pollutant or surface water from the 303(d) List;
 and
- Criteria for prioritizing the 303(d) listed waters for TMDL development.

Although the *Impaired Water Identification* rule regulates the listing of waters only, and does not set requirements on those waters not placed on the 303(d) List or Planning List, ADEQ has chosen to apply the same data interpretation criteria to all waters to maintain consistency of methods. Data which does not meet the "credible data requirements" will not be used to make any assessment, be it "attaining" or "impaired." All data collected by or submitted to ADEQ will be considered and noted in the monitoring tables, but will not be used to make an assessment if credible data requirements are not fulfilled.

Federal guidance and regulations

New Federal Guidance – In July 2003, EPA issued "Guidance for 2004 Assessment, Listing and Reporting Requirements Pursuant to Sections 303(d) and 305(b) of the Clean Water Act" concerning the development and submission of the 2004 305(b) water quality report and the 303(d) List of impaired waters. This guidance recommended, as it did for the 2002 assessment, that states submit an integrated water quality assessment report that included the state's 303(d) listed waters. Table 1 indicates the information EPA requested, and where this information can be found in this report.

Table 1. EPA Requested Data or Information

Data or Information Requested	Data or Information Provided in This Report
Geographic delineations of each surface water assessed based on the new National Hydrography Dataset.	Arizona will be sending EPA the geographic delineations requested.
Status of and progress toward achieving comprehensive assessments of all waters.	Chapter VI provides an overview of surface water quality assessments and Chapter VII provides an overview of ground water quality assessments. ADEQ's monitoring programs are described in Chapter VIII.
Water quality standard attainment determinations for each surface water assessed.	Detailed monitoring information for each surface water assessed is provided in Chapter IV. Information is arranged by watershed. These tables clearly indicate the basis for each assessment.
Identify additional monitoring that may be needed to determine water quality standard attainment status and, if necessary, to support development of TMDLs.	The assessment tables in Chapter IV and the 5-category lists in Chapter V indicate whether a surface water will be on the Planning List or TMDL list and the pollutant(s) of concern. Monitoring activities are being developed based on this information.
Schedules for additional monitoring planned for each surface water assessed.	Chapter VIII describes ADEQ's monitoring programs, how these programs are integrated within the agency and with other agencies, and how waters are scheduled through a 5-year watershed monitoring cycle.
Surface waters and pollutants still requiring TMDLs.	Impaired waters which require TMDLs and their pollutants of concern are identified in the Category 5 list in Chapter V.
TMDL development schedules reflecting the priority ranking of each surface water and/or pollutant combination.	A priority ranking and a schedule for completing TMDLs for each pollutant impairing a surface water is provided in Chapter V.
A description of the assessment and listing methodology used to develop Clean Water Act section 303(d) Lists and section 305(b) Assessments.	Chapter III describes the assessment and listing methods used. Appendix B provides a copy of the <i>Impaired Waters Identification</i> rule and Arizona's statute concerning the listing process and TMDL development.
A description of the public participation process involved in developing the 303(d) list.	The public participation process is described later in this chapter.

EPA guidance suggests that surface waters be placed on the following five-part list of surface waters depending on the sufficiency of data and number of exceedances as defined in Arizona's assessment and listing methods (see discussion in Chapter III):

- Category 1. Surface waters where <u>all</u> designated uses are being attained.
- Category 2. Surface waters are attaining some designated uses but there are insufficient data to assess the remaining uses. Arizona has chosen to place surface waters assessed as "threatened" in this category as well.
- Category 3. Surface waters with insufficient data to assess any designated use.
- Category 4. Surface waters are assessed as "not attaining" one or more designated use but a Total Maximum Daily Load (TMDL) analysis will not be required for one of the following reasons:
 - 4 A. A TMDL has already been completed and approved by EPA but the water quality standards are not yet being attained.
 - 4 B. Other pollution control requirements are reasonably expected to result in the attainment of water quality standards by the next regularly scheduled listing cycle.
 - 4 C. The impairment is <u>not</u> related to a "pollutant" loading but rather caused by "pollution" (e.g., hydrologic modification).
 - 4D. Arizona has developed this subcategory for surface waters that would be impaired under the former turbidity standard (repealed in 2002). See discussion in next section.
- Category 5. Surface waters are impaired for one or more designated uses by a pollutant and require development of a TMDL.

Note that EPA guidance suggests that waters assessed as "threatened" be placed in Category 5. Arizona will include "threatened" waters in Category 2 or 3 as "inconclusive" and in need of further monitoring until federal regulations clarify whether "threatened" waters must be included in the 303(d) List of impaired waters. Arizona is also waiting for EPA to establish clear methods for the trend analysis necessary to identify threatened waters (e.g. minimum number of samples needed to develop a trend). For this assessment, no waters were assessed as "threatened."

Federal Regulations -- Impaired water listing requirements are also established in federal regulations (40 Code of Federal Regulations parts 122, 124, and 130.7). These regulations were applied in this assessment.

Changes in the assessment process

Several major changes, summarized below, have been made to ADEQ's water quality assessment process since the last report in 2002.

Application of Chronic Standards -- ADEQ has developed a process for the use of chronic Aquatic and Wildlife water quality standards in the 2004 assessment. As assessments are not enforcement actions, the provisions in the Surface Water Standards to

determine compliance with chronic aquatic and wildlife criteria (R18-11-120) were not applied. (Enforcement of a chronic standard violation requires that the geometric mean of the analytical results of the last four samples taken at least 24 hours apart exceed the standard.)

Acute and Chronic Standards

Some water quality parameters have both an "acute" and a "chronic" standard (Appendix C). Acute standards are generally higher than chronic standards and are developed to protect for short-term, high level exposures to the parameter of concern. Chronic standards are set lower than acute standards and are developed to protect for long-term, lower level exposure to a parameter.

Instead, a surface water is assessed as "impaired" based on a chronic

water quality standard if 25% or more of the samples exceed the chronic standard when 10 or more samples have been collected. When there were fewer than 10 samples, a minimum of 3 exceedances of the chronic standards was sufficient for assessing the surface water as "impaired, because at 10 samples only 3 exceedances would cause a listing.

An exceedance rate of 11-14% when 10 or more samples, or 1 or 2 exceedances when less than 10 samples, will result in an assessment of "inconclusive" and place the surface water on the Planning List for further monitoring.

Turbidity and Suspended Sediment Concentration Standards – ADEQ repealed its turbidity standard in March of 2002 and adopted a suspended sediment concentration (SSC) standard of 80 mg/L, expressed as a geometric mean with a four sample minimum, to protect Aquatic and Wildlife designated uses. The new SSC standard is only applicable to samples collected at or near base flow, which the U.S. Geological Survey (USGS) defines as "flow sustained largely by ground water discharge." Precipitation events and runoff must be excluded.

As established in Arizona's *Impaired Water Identification* rule (**Appendix B**), more than one exceedance of this geometric mean standard would result in an assessment of "impaired." One exceedance would be assessed as "inconclusive."

ADEQ encountered several obstacles in assessing using the new suspended sediment concentration standard, which are detailed further in Chapter III under "Turbidity and the New SSC Standard." The major difficulty was that ADEQ could not determined a scientifically-based method for determining base flow. Until a method of assessing SSC data is developed, ADEQ has taken the following steps to ensure that evidence of potential suspended sediment problems is not lost:

- Turbidity data have been included and assessed under the former standard. Any waters indicating impairment were assessed as "not attaining" and placed on the Planning List for further monitoring.
- Any sites indicating potential impairment based on the suspended sediment concentration standard were assessed as "inconclusive" and also placed on the Planning List for further monitoring.
- A table of lakes and streams potentially impaired due to suspended sediment or turbidity is included in Chapter VI along with a map showing their location in the state. These are the waters that will have high priority for further suspended sediment studies.

How is the assessment and listing approved?

The Arizona 2004 303(d) Submission to EPA – In accordance with Arizona Revised Statute (49-232.A), the proposed 303(d) List is submitted to EPA following public review and publication of the list and response to comments in the Arizona Administrative Register. The 303(d) List is due to EPA on April 1st of each even-numbered year. The 2004 Integrated Report will be available at ADEQs web site in Adobe PDF format at: www.adeq.state.az.us.

The table showing Category 5 surface waters is the list of impaired waters that is submitted to EPA in April 2004. The list identifies, by surface water segment, the pollutants or surface water characteristics not meeting surface water quality standards. EPA must approve this list and has the authority to add or remove surface waters from the list based on the federal Clean Water Act, regulations, or policies. Therefore, the list shown in this report can be modified by EPA. If changes are made, ADEQ will then provide a revised list on its internet site: www.adeq.state.az.us.

Public Participation in Arizona's Listing Process – Communicating with the public and promoting public input into the 303(d) listing process is an integral component of ADEQ's water quality management programs. A 30-day public review of this draft report is provided in November 2003. A copy of the report is posted on ADEQ's web site, notices are placed in six local newspapers throughout the state (Phoenix, Tucson, Flagstaff, Sierra Vista, Yuma, and St. Johns), and flyers concerning the public review are mailed to a list of interested persons. Copies of the draft report are available on CD, in hard copy, or as an electronic download from the Internet.

Arizona's TMDL statute provides that any party who submits written comments on the draft list may challenge a surface water listing. Any challenged listing will not be included on the initial submission to EPA, but may be subsequently submitted if the listing is upheld in the director's final administrative decision.

The response to comments and the draft 303(d) List is published in the Arizona Administrative Register on xxx, 2003, according to Arizona Revised Statue 49-232. Publication of the list in the Arizona Administrative Register is an appealable agency action and may be appealed by any party that submitted written comments on the draft list. If a notice of appeal of a listing occurs within the 45-day publication period in the Arizona Administrative Register, ADEQ cannot include the challenged listing in its initial submission to EPA until the listing is upheld by ADEQ's Director or if the challenge is withdrawn.

EPA List Approval Process -- Within 30 days of receipt of a completed listing package, EPA must act on a state's list and priority ranking. EPA may approve or disapprove the entire list or disapprove only deficient portions.

If it disapproves a portion, EPA must within 30 days identify corrections (i.e., surface waters, pollutant(s), priority rankings) needed to make the list consistent with EPA regulations. EPA must also initiate another public review and comment period. The agency publishes its intended revisions in the *Federal Register*, newspaper notices, and other methods of notifying interested parties.

At the end of the comment period, EPA will evaluate public comments and compile a revised list. This corrected list is sent back to ADEQ to be incorporated into the water quality management plans and used as Arizona's approved 2004 303(d) List.

EPA Action on the Methods – Arizona's *Impaired Water Identification* rule (**Appendix B**) establishes Arizona's 303(d) listing procedures. EPA provided comments on the rule in 2002 when it was developed. Although EPA does not have authority to approve this rule, EPA considers the methods it establishes when it reviews the 303(d) List Arizona submits. As described above, EPA may cite any deficiencies it raised in comments as a factor in a decision to disapprove all or part of Arizona's 303(d) List.

After EPA's final action is taken, ADEQ will post the final 2004 303(d) List on its website. Copies of the 2002 303(d) List (the current list, until EPA approves the 2004 list) are downloadable from the ADEQ web site in Adobe PDF format at: www.adeq.state.az.us.